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# Workforce Equalities Report 2018 to 2020 

## WORKFORCE EQUALITIES REPORT: 2018 TO 2020

## INTRODUCTION

1. Oxford is an ethnically and culturally diverse city and has experienced population growth in recent years, with economically active Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) communities across Oxford accounting for some $19 \%$ of the population (based on 2011 census data). This diversity of population requires the Council to provide strategic leadership, promoting community cohesion and equality across its services as well as aim for its workforce to reflect the diversity of the communities it serves. In relation to employment, key initiatives promoted by the Council include: -

- Increasing the diversity of the workforce by promoting the career opportunities available in local government, and attracting and appointing more BAME candidates to better reflect the make-up of Oxford communities
- Supporting the creation of new jobs through leading on ethical procurement, working with the Local Enterprise Partnership, investing in major infrastructure projects to regenerate Barton, Blackbird Leys, Rose Hill and the City Centre, and supporting employment and skills plans linked to these developments
- Maintaining our accredited Oxford Living Wage (OLW) policy for directly employed staff, contractors and agency staff, as well as influencing other employers to be part of a Living Wage City


## PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

2. This report focuses on the City Council as an employer committed to increasing the diversity of its workforce and providing an inclusive work environment with equality of opportunity for all employees. It covers all aspects of recruitment, retention, performance management and staff development reflecting high standards of professional practice, and our position as Investors in People Gold Champion, in addition to embedding the Public Sector Equality Duty and Equality Act 2010 best practice.
3. It provides an update on human resources and equalities related activities of the City Council, a 'snap shot' of what we look like as a council, data trend analysis for a three year period (1 April 2017 to 31 March 2020) for the key protected characteristics and highlights what we have done in terms of recruitment and retention to increase the diversity of our workforce. The report also provides comparative population data and breakdown of the City Council's staffing for key equalities reporting areas.

## METHODOLGY

4. An examination of the 2011 Census Data, the Office for National Statistics Labour Force Survey 2016 and the Annual Population Survey 2016 provides a greater understanding of the demography of Oxford's population.
5. The Council is collecting and analysing data over a rolling three year period to enable greater insight into trends and to confirm the relevance of the current action plan and identify any new approaches. The 2018/20 WER includes data tables on protected characteristics, as defined in the Equality Act 2010, as well as information on recruitment activity, starters and leavers and disciplinary activity.

## LATEST WORKFORCE ANALYSIS \& FINDINGS

6. The number of people employed by the Council has risen from 702 on $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2019 to 720 on $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020.

## Gender

7. The Council maintains a good position in relation to the percentage of women employed in the workforce at $59 \%$, which compares favourably with national demographic data of $47 \%$. Whilst the proportion of women in the workforce has remained relatively static, the representation of women decreases at the higher pay grades: -

| Grade | All Staff | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Admin Apprentice | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Grade 03 | 27 | 15 | 12 |
| Grade 04 | 54 | 32 | 22 |
| Grade 05 | 149 | 107 | 42 |
| Grade 06 | 121 | 82 | 39 |
| Grade 07 | 163 | 92 | 71 |
| Grade 08 | 83 | 38 | 45 |
| Grade 09 | 50 | 29 | 21 |
| Grade 10 | 24 | 11 | 13 |
| Grade 11 | 21 | 8 | 13 |
| Business Lead | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Service Manager+ | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Service Head | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Director | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Chief Exec | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Totals: |  | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9 0}$ |  |  |  |

8. As can be seen from the above there are a greater number of women employed in lower graded roles then men, although there is still good representation of women in roles up to Grade 9. It is in grades $11+$ that there is disproportionate representation of men in relation to the demographic of the workforce. Increasing the representation of women in higher management and senior leadership roles continues to form part of the Council's Equalities Action Plan.

## Ethnicity

9. The table below details the BAME population by service area as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020: -

|  | Staff from a BAME Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Area/Team | Number | \% Employees |
| Assistant Chief Executive | 3 | 16.7\% |
| Business Improvement | 23 | 15.0\% |
| Community Services | 14 | 15.4\% |
| Financial Services | 14 | 11.3\% |
| Law \& Governance | 3 | 13.0\% |
| Regeneration \& Economy | 1 | 3.4\% |
| Regulatory Services and Community Safety | 10 | 14.1\% |
| Senior Management | 1 | 20.0\% |
| Housing Services | 17 | 13.3\% |
| Planning Services | 5 | 15.2\% |
| Environmental Sustainability | 1 | 2.9\% |
| Welfare Reform Team | 1 | 16.7\% |
| Transformation | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | 93 |  |

10. The representation of BAME staff across the Council's pay structure as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020 can be summarised as follows: -

|  |  | BAME |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Employee Number | Number | \% Employees |  |  |  |  |
| Apprentice | 3 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 03 | 27 | 6 | $11.11 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 04 | 54 | 8 | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 05 | 149 | 30 | $33.33 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 06 | 121 | 17 | $22.22 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 07 | 163 | 17 | $14.81 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 08 | 83 | 9 | $20.13 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 09 | 50 | 4 | $14.05 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 10 | 24 | 0 | $10.43 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 | 21 | 0 | $10.84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Business Lead | 9 | 1 | $8.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Service Manager+ | 3 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Service Head | 9 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Director | 3 | 1 | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Chief Executive | 1 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Totals: |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $12.92 \%$ |

11. In relation to BAME representation it can be shown that the actions being delivered through the Equalities action Plan are having a positive impact. The workforce percentage of BAME staff was $11.95 \%$ as of $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2018, which increased to $12.9 \%$ as of $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020. Based on the 2011 Census the economically active BAME population of Oxford is $18.7 \%$ and, as part of the equalities action plan, it was agreed to strive to achieve BAME representation of 13.65\%.

## Disability

12. The number of staff who have declared themselves as having a disability has risen to $10.83 \%$ as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020, which is both the highest level over the reporting period as well as greater than the proportion of economically active individuals, as reported in the 2011 Census (8.9\%).

## Age

13. The proportion of staff under 30 years of age has decreased across the 3 year reporting period from $18.4 \%$ to $15.7 \%$. The proportion between staff aged over 50 has increased marginally during this period.

## Sexual Orientation

14. The number of staff who have declared themselves as lesbian, gay or bisexual has steadily increased over the reporting period, and is at a three year high of $3.7 \%$ as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020.

## Religious Belief \& Non Belief

15. The proportion of members of staff who consider themselves to be atheist or have no religion has increased since March 2018. The numbers of staff who consider themselves to be Christian has remained consistent. The number of staff not providing information has increased to 28\% in March 2020.

## Reporting Levels

16. The proportion of staff choosing not to provide information on their protected characteristics remains an issue for the council, as this creates a barrier in terms of understanding the actual composition of its workforce. The current levels of 'non-disclosure', compared with the previous year, are detailed below: -

| Characteristic | Proportion of Staff not Declaring Information |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As at 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Mar 2020 | As at 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Mar 2019 |
| Ethnicity | 7.78\% | 6.70\% |
| Disability | 7.22\% | 8.83\% |
| Sexual Orientation | 25.56\% | 26.92\% |
| Religious Belief | 28.19\% | 27.49\% |

17. The HR team will continue to explore opportunities to increase the levels of selfreporting.

## Staff Living within Oxford

18. The proportion of staff living within the OX1 to OX4 postcode area has marginally decreased over the reporting period. The proportion of staff living outside the city centre is partly a reflection of the fact that Oxford remains the most expensive place to live in the UK outside London, as well as the City Council's continued ability to attract talent from across the country due to its ambitious agenda and reputation, flexible working arrangements and employee benefits.

## Recruitment Activity

19. In the recruitment activity data table (number 12) the data for 2019/20 refers solely to City Council vacancies, whereas the data for 2017/18 and 2018/19 include recruitment for Oxford Direct Services vacancies as well. In the last reporting period the number of applicants from BAME backgrounds is higher when compared with BAME representation in the workforce. The number of applicants declaring a disability remains fairly consistent as does the female/male ratio.
20. The Council has previously reviewed a sample of recruitment campaigns to ensure from application through to shortlisting and appointment, the process has been undertaken fairly and equitably. Further steps will be undertaken/embedded in the coming year. These will be two-fold a) as part of the training budget allocated to service heads and managers we will make it an imperative that officers focus on developing the skills of under-represented staff at senior management grades, so that these cohorts are competitive in internal recruitment, and $b$ ) on external recruitment drives, we will be promoting opportunities using local community pathways that are rooted in diversity, coupled with only inserting job qualifications when they are absolutely essential to the requirements of the job. We will work to make all interview and stakeholder panels diverse, and apply positive action in the decision making process utilising the framework of the law, as per the Equality Act. This should ensure that panels look like the people that we want to recruit and should also support the applicant to perform at their best.
21. The other benefits of this approach is that it will mitigate against unconscious bias, and improve organisational culture. The Council will not be compromising on meritocracy or quality.
22. The Council continues to use a variety of approaches to promote job opportunities within the local community, including: holding recruitment roadshows in local community venues; attendance at local job fairs and careers events in local schools; advertising suitable roles in community centre notice boards; community newspapers/magazines; local libraries and the Oxford Mail; and encouraging applications for apprenticeship opportunities from the OX1 to OX4 postcode area. Staff turnover is normally approximately $10-12 \%$ per annum, which is broadly comparable with other public sector organisations. Data will continue to be reviewed across the entire recruitment cycle to identify if there are any specific points areas within that cycle which need attention, and forms part of the equality action plan.
23. The data for new starters in 2019/20 indicates that the proportion of new starters broadly reflects the current workforce in terms of gender and staff with a disability. The proportion of applicants from a BAME group is higher than the current workforce, which is a positive development. The proportion of new starters that declined to provide information on their ethnic origin and disability status has increased to $24.24 \%$ in 2019/20, and will remain a focus in the coming years to encourage new staff to provide this data as part of the recruitment and induction process.
24. The data for leavers in 2019/20 indicates that the proportion of leavers is broadly representative of the current workforce in terms of gender and disability. The number of leavers from a BAME background was, however, higher than the representation in the Council's workforce. This offsets the increase in new starters and therefore the overall representation in the workforce remains the same.
25. The overall number of leavers in 2019/20 has reduced with fewer people voluntarily resigning.
26. The City Council remains the only local authority within Oxfordshire that is a Living Wage Champion. The Council has also built the OLW into its Procurement process, so that all sub-contractors are encouraged to pay at least the OLW for agency staff engaged on council contracts. This policy ensures that Council staff and agency staff supplied through the agency worker contract with Reed are paid a decent wage as well as helping to offset the high cost of accommodation within the city, which is the most expensive area to live in the UK.
Other Workforce Data
27. The level of disciplinary casework has been relatively consistent in the last two reporting periods.
28. The data tables below provide information on the numbers of staff employed by the Council as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020 for each of the protected characteristics, supplemented where appropriate with general population data.

## GENERAL POPULATON \& WORKFORCE DIVERSITY PROFILE (AS AT 31 MARCH 2018, 2019 AND 2020)

DATA TABLE 1: OXFORD GENERAL POPULATION DATA: SEX


The Labour Force Survey data (January to March 2018) indicates that some 47\% of the national workforce was female. Over the reporting period 2018/9 to 2019/20 the proportion of female staff in the workforce has stabilised at some 58\%.

## DATA TABLE 2: CITY COUNCIL WORKFORCE PROFILE (SEX)

As at 31 March 2018

| Gender | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 58.70 | 398 |
| Male | 41.30 | 280 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ |

As at 31 March 2019

| Gender | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 58.97 | 414 |
| Male | 41.03 | 288 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |

As at 31 March 2020

| Gender | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 58.89 | 424 |
| Male | 41.11 | 296 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ |

Commentary: The organisational headcount has increased steadily since 2017, with the proportion of female staff increasing slightly over the same period.

## DATA TABLE 3: OXFORD GENERAL POPULATION DATA: ETHNICITY



Across the city, $18.7 \%$ of the economically active population, i.e. excluding students, are from a BAME group (Census 2011). The proportion of City Council staff from a BAME group was $12.9 \%$ as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2020.

## DATA TABLE 4: CITY COUNCIL WORKFORCE PROFILE (ETHNICITY)

As at 31 March 2018

| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White | 81.27 | 551 |
| BAME | 11.95 | 81 |
| Unspecified | 6.78 | 46 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ |

As at 31 March 2019

| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White | 80.34 | 564 |
| BAME | 12.96 | 91 |
| Unspecified | 6.70 | 47 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |

As at 31 March 2020

| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White | 78.89 | 568 |
| BAME | 12.92 | 93 |
| Unspecified | 8.19 | 59 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ |

Commentary: The number of employees from a BAME group remains consistent. We need to ensure that more people feel able to declare their ethnic origin. This will enable us to compile a more comprehensive and accurate picture of our workforce profile.

## DATA TABLE 5: OXFORD GENERAL POPULATION DATA: DISABILITY



The proportion of economically active residents in Oxford who considered themselves to have a disability in the 2011 Census was $8.9 \%$. The proportion of staff who consider themselves to have a disability has steadily increased over the reporting period from $7.96 \%$ to $10.83 \%$.

## DATA TABLE 6: WORKFORCE PROFILE (DISABILITY)

As at 31 March 2018

| Disability | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 84.96 | 576 |
| Not known | 1.47 | 10 |
| Yes | 7.96 | 54 |
| Not <br> specified | 5.6 | 38 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ |

As at 31 March 2019

| Disability | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 81.62 | 573 |
| Not known | 1.99 | 14 |
| Yes | 9.54 | 67 |
| Not <br> specified | 6.84 | 48 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |

As at 31 March 2020

| Disability | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 79.03 | 569 |
| Not known | 2.92 | 21 |
| Yes | 10.83 | 78 |
| Not <br> specified | 7.22 | 52 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ |

Commentary: The number of staff at March 2020 that reported they have a disability was $10.83 \%$, which is the highest level over the reporting period as well as above the 2011 Census proportion of the economically active individuals with a disability (8.9\%).

DATA TABLE 7: CITY COUNCIL WORKFORCE PROFILE (AGE)

As at 31 March 2018

| Age Bands | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 21 | 1.18 | 8 |
| $21-30$ | 17.26 | 117 |
| $31-40$ | 23.16 | 157 |
| $41-50$ | 25.07 | 170 |
| $51-60$ | 27.58 | 187 |
| $61-65$ | 4.72 | 32 |
| Over 65 | 1.03 | 7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ |

As at 31 March 2019

| Age Bands | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 21 | 1.14 | 8 |
| $21-30$ | 16.38 | 115 |
| $31-40$ | 23.36 | 164 |
| $41-50$ | 24.22 | 170 |
| $51-60$ | 27.64 | 194 |
| $61-65$ | 6.27 | 44 |
| Over 65 | 1.00 | 7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |

As at 31 March 2020

| Age Bands | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 21 | 0.69 | 5 |
| $21-30$ | 15.00 | 108 |
| $31-40$ | 25.42 | 183 |
| $41-50$ | 23.61 | 170 |
| $51-60$ | 25.28 | 182 |
| $61-65$ | 8.75 | 63 |
| Over 65 | 1.25 | 9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ |

Commentary: The proportion of staff under 30 years of age has reduced from $18.4 \%$ to $15.7 \%$ over the reporting period. The proportion of staff aged over 50 has increased marginally during the reporting period.

## DATA TABLE 8: OXFORD GENERAL POPULATION DATA: SEXUAL ORIENTATION



The Annual Population Survey (2016) suggests that $2.0 \%$ of the population identifies as bisexual, lesbian or gay. However around $25 \%$ of the workforce over the reporting period 2018 to 2020 have declined to provide information on their sexuality, so it is difficult to provide an accurate workforce profile in this area.

DATA TABLE 9: CITY COUNCIL WORKFORCE PROFILE (SEXUAL ORIENTATION)

As at 31 March 2018

| Sexual Orientation | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bisexual | 0.44 | 3 |
| Gay Man | 1.03 | 7 |
| Gay woman/lesbian | 1.03 | 7 |
| Heterosexual/straight | 66.96 | 454 |
| Prefer not to say | 8.70 | 59 |
| Not specified | 21.83 | 148 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ |

## As at 31 March 2019

| Sexual Orientation | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bisexual | 1.28 | 9 |
| Gay Man | 1.00 | 7 |
| Gay woman/lesbian | 1.42 | 10 |
| Heterosexual/straight | 69.37 | 487 |
| Prefer not to say | 7.83 | 55 |
| Not specified | 19.09 | 134 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |

As at 31 March 2020

| Sexual Orientation | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bisexual | 1.11 | 8 |
| Gay Man | 1.11 | 8 |
| Gay woman/lesbian | 1.25 | 9 |
| Heterosexual/straight | 70.97 | 511 |
| Prefer not to say | 7.92 | 57 |
| Not specified | 17.64 | 127 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ |

Commentary: The number of staff who have declared themselves as Lesbian, Gay or Bisexual has increased marginally over the reporting period. There remains a significant proportion of staff who have either indicated 'prefer not to say' (7.9\%) or 'not specified' (17.6\%) as at March 2020.

## DATA TABLE 10: CITY COUNCIL WORKFORCE PROFILE (RELIGION/BELIEF \& NON BELIEF)

|  | As at 31 March 2018 |  |  | As at 31 March 2019 |  |  | As at 31 March 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & A \end{aligned}$ | Religion | Percentage | Number | Religion | Percentage | Number | Religion | Percentage | Number |
|  | Atheist/Humanist/no beliefs | 26.70 | 181 | Atheist/Humanist/no beliefs | 28.35 | 199 | Atheist/Humanist/no beliefs | 29.44 | 212 |
|  | Buddhist | 0.29 | 2 | Buddhist | 0.85 | 6 | Buddhist | 0.56 | 4 |
|  | Christian | 34.22 | 232 | Christian | 35.75 | 251 | Christian | 34.58 | 249 |
|  | Hindu | 1.18 | 8 | Hindu | 1.14 | 8 | Hindu | 1.25 | 9 |
|  | Jewish | 0.15 | 1 | Jewish | 0.14 | 1 | Jewish | 0.14 | 1 |
|  | Muslim | 2.06 | 14 | Muslim | 2.14 | 15 | Muslim | 2.08 | 15 |
|  | Other | 3.98 | 27 | Other | 3.70 | 26 | Other | 3.33 | 24 |
|  | Prefer not to say | 9.00 | 61 | Prefer not to say | 8.69 | 61 | Prefer not to say | 9.72 | 70 |
|  | Sikh | 0.44 | 3 | Sikh | 0.43 | 3 | Sikh | 0.42 | 3 |
|  | Not specified | 21.98 | 149 | Not specified | 18.80 | 132 | Not specified | 18.47 | 133 |
|  | Total | 100\% | 678 | Total | 100\% | 702 | Total | 100\% | 720 |

Commentary: The number of staff not providing information has increased over the reporting period to $28 \%$ in March 2020. The proportion of members of staff who consider themselves to be atheist or have no religion has increased since March 2018. The numbers of staff who consider themselves to be Christian has remained consistent.

A dedicated non-denominational 'quiet room' is available within St Aldate's Chambers for use by all staff as a reflective meditative space. It is also recognised that some groups have specific needs and these are addressed through commitments within the Fair Employment Policy, flexible working arrangements as well as through diversity training and support from HR Business Partners to assist staff/managers planning leave.

DATA TABLE 11：CITY COUNCIL WORKFORCE PROFILE（NUMBER LIVING IN CENTRAL OXFORD \＆LIVING OUTSIDE CENTRAL OXFORD）

## As at 31 March 2018

| Central Oxford | Percentage | Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Central | 37.46 | 254 |
| Not | 62.54 | 424 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ |

As at 31 March 2019

| Central Oxford | Percentage | Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Central | 36.89 | 259 |
| Not | 63.11 | 443 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |

As at 31 March 2020

| Central Oxford | Percentage | Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Central | 35.69 | 257 |
| Not | 64.31 | 463 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ |

Commentary：The proportion of staff living within the OX1 to OX4 postcode area has marginally decreased over the reporting period．The proportion of staff living outside the city centre is a reflection of the fact that Oxford remains the most expensive place to live in the UK outside London，as well as the City Council＇s continued ability to attract talent from across the country due to its ambitious agenda and reputation，flexible working arrangements and employee benefits．

## DATA TABLE 12: RECRUITMENT ACTIVITY BETWEEN 2018 AND 2020

Recruitment activity in 2017/18

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 45.60 | 3812 |
| Male | 50.40 | 4213 |
| Not specified | 4.00 | 334 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 5 9}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 20.07 | 1678 |
| White | 79.93 | 6681 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 5 9}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 84.69 | 7079 |
| Yes | 5.13 | 429 |
| Not Specified | 10.18 | 851 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 5 9}$ |

Recruitment activity in 2018/19

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 52.50 | 3472 |
| Male | 43.02 | 2845 |
| Not specified | 4.48 | 296 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 3}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 29.73 | 1942 |
| White | 70.63 | 4671 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 3}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 82.38 | 5448 |
| Yes | 5.08 | 336 |
| Not Specified | 12.54 | 829 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 3}$ |

Recruitment activity in 2019/20

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 49.34 | 783 |
| Male | 45.37 | 720 |
| Not specified | 5.29 | 84 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8 7}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 26.84 | 426 |
| White | 64.84 | 1029 |
| Not Specified | 8.32 | 132 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8 7}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 82.23 | 1305 |
| Yes | 6.43 | 102 |
| Not Specified | 11.34 | 180 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8 7}$ |

Commentary: The data tables above for 2019/20 relate to recruitment for City Council vacancies only, whereas the data tables for both 2017/18 and 2018/19 include recruitment for both City Council and ODS vacancies. Although this makes meaningful trend analysis very difficult the proportion of applications received from female, BAME and disabled applicants remains broadly comparable with previous reporting periods. The Council regularly reviews where and how it advertises job opportunities and is exploring making greater use of social media to encourage applications from a younger age demographic, its 'employer brand' and how it can promote working for the city, as well as 'outreach' work with local communities and schools. These actions are part of a continuing programme of work towards being more reflective of the communities it serves.

## DATA TABLE 13: STARTERS BETWEEN 2018 AND 2020

## New starters during 2017/8

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 33.56 | 97 |
| Male | 66.44 | 192 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 7.96 | 23 |
| White | 51.90 | 150 |
| Not <br> Specified | 40.14 | 116 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 82.70 | 239 |
| Yes | 2.42 | 7 |
| Not <br> Specified | 14.88 | 43 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ |

New starters during 2018/19

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 55.74 | 68 |
| Male | 44.26 | 54 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ |

## New starters during 2019/20

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 52.53 | 52 |
| Male | 47.47 | 47 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 99 |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 18.03 | 22 |
| White | 67.22 | 82 |
| Not <br> Specified | 14.75 | 18 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 72.13 | 88 |
| Yes | 7.38 | 9 |
| Not <br> Specified | 20.49 | 25 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 17.17 | 17 |
| White | 62.63 | 62 |
| Not <br> Specified | 20.20 | 20 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 66.67 | 66 |
| Yes | 9.09 | 9 |
| Not <br> Specified | 24.24 | 24 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |

Commentary: The data tables above for 2018/19 and 2019/20 relate to City Council new starters, whereas the data table for 2017/18 includes ODS new starters. Comparing the last two data tables the number of new starters has reduced significantly. This is consistent with a reduction in the number of leavers for the same period (see next tables) and indicates a reduction in staff turnover during the 2019/20 reporting period. Whilst the number of new starters from a BAME background has reduced slightly it is still higher than the workforce representation in 2019/20. The proportion of new starters who have not provided information on their ethnic origin and disabled status has, however. Increased in the last reporting period. This will continue to be a focus in the coming years to encourage staff to provide this information so that the Council gain a clearer understanding of the composition and needs of the workforce.

## DATA TABLE 14: LEAVERS BETWEEN 2018 AND 2020

Leavers during 2017/18

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 37.09 | 56 |
| Male | 62.91 | 95 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 7.95 | 12 |
| White | 77.48 | 117 |
| Not <br> Specified | 14.57 | 22 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 90.07 | 136 |
| Yes | 7.94 | 12 |
| Not <br> Specified | 1.99 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ |

Leavers during 2018/19

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 54.40 | 68 |
| Male | 45.60 | 57 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 13.60 | 17 |
| White | 78.40 | 98 |
| Not <br> Specified | 8.00 | 10 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 91.20 | 114 |
| Yes | 8.00 | 10 |
| Not <br> Specified | 0.80 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |

Leavers during 2019/20

| Sex | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 51.81 | 43 |
| Male | 48.19 | 40 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |


| Ethnicity | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 16.87 | 14 |
| White | 71.08 | 59 |
| Not <br> Specified | 12.05 | 10 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |


| Disabled | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 85.54 | 9 |
| Yes | 10.84 | 71 |
| Not <br> Specified | 3.61 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |

Commentary: The data tables above for 2018/19 and 2019/20 relate to City Council employees only, whereas the data table for 2017/18 includes ODS leavers. Comparing the last two data tables the number of staff leaving the Council has reduced significantly in 2019/20.

## DATA TABLE 15: ANALYSIS OF REASONS FOR LEAVING BETWEEN 2018 AND 2020

Leavers during 2017/18

| Reason | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Died in Service | 0.66 | 1 |
| Dismissal - <br> Attendance | 3.31 | 5 |
| End of Fixed Term <br> Contract | 7.95 | 12 |
| F Failed Probation | 1.98 | 3 |
| Mutually Agreed <br> Termination | 2.65 | 4 |
| Resignation - Career <br> Development | 4.64 | 7 |
| Resignation - <br> Improved <br> Pay/Benefits | 0.66 | 1 |
| Resignation - Other | 67.55 | 102 |
| Resignation - <br> Relocation | 2.65 | 4 |
| Resignation - <br> Retirement | 4.64 | 7 |
| Retirement - III <br> Health Tier 1 III <br> Retirement - <br> Health Tier 2 <br> Total | 2.65 | 4 |

Leavers during 2018/19

| Reason | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| End of Fixed Term <br> Contract | 12.8 | 16 |
| Failed Probation | 1.6 | 2 |
| Mutually Agreed <br> Termination | 4 | 5 |
| Redundancy (with <br> Severance Payment) | 0.8 | 1 |
| Resignation - Career <br> Development | 0.8 | 1 |
| Resignation - Other | 73.6 | 92 |
| Resignation - Relocation | 2.4 | 3 |
| Resignation - <br> Retirement | 1.6 | 2 |
| Transfer | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |
| Total |  |  |

Leavers during 2019/20

| Reason | Percentage | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Died in Service | 1.20 | 1 |
| Dismissal - <br> Attendance | 1.20 | 1 |
| End of Fixed <br> Term Contract | 3.61 | 3 |
| Failed Probation | 1.20 | 1 |
| Mutually Agreed <br> Termination | 3.61 | 3 |
| Resignation - <br> Other | 80.72 | 67 |
| Resignation - <br> Relocation | 2.41 | 2 |
| Resignation - <br> Retirement | 6.02 | 5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |

Commentary: The data tables for 2018/19 and 2019/20 provide details of the leaving reason for staff leaving the City Council, whereas the table for 2017/18 includes ODS leavers. The number of people resigning has reduced significantly in the last reporting period.

## DATA TABLE 16: OTHER WORKFORCE DATA

| Disciplinaries |
| :---: |
| Breach of Data Protection policy |
| Breach of IT policy |
| Breach of H\&S policy |
| Damage to Council Property |
| Damage to Council reputation |
| Discrimination, bullying harassment |
| Drug or alcohol misuse |
| Non-adherence to values and behaviours framework |
| Non-adherence/breach to Organisational policy or work processes |


| Warnings given during 2017/18 <br> Written |  |  | Final <br> Written |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Informal <br> Warning | Total |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1 | 4 | 17 |
| 1 | 5 |  | 6 |
| 4 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| 12 | 5 | 21 | 38 |
| 29 | 14 | 31 | 74 |


| Warnings given during 2018/19 <br> 1st <br> Written |  |  | Final <br> Written |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | Informal <br> Warning | Total |
|  |  | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 2 |  | 1 | 3 |
| 4 |  | 4 | 8 |

50\%

| Warnings given during 2019/20 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st <br> Written | Final <br> Written | Informal <br> Warning | Total |
| 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

$43 \%$
14\%

Commentary: The level of disciplinary casework has been relatively consistent in the last two reporting periods.

## DATE

